

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2806	
09/910,914	0	7/24/2001	Kie Y. Ahn	M4065.0461/P461		
24998	7590	11/05/2002				
		RO MORIN & O	EXAMINER			
2101 L STI WASHING		20037-1526	FOONG, SUK SAN			
			<b>4</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2823		
				DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				T		<del></del>		- ym			
				Application	n No.	A	oplicant(s)	••			
	Offic	Action Commons	•	09/910,91	4		HN ET AL.				
	Ome	Action Summary		Examiner		Aı	t Unit				
	71	WO DATE - 644		Suk-San F			123	dda a			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status 1)⊠	Resnons	ive to communication(s)	filed on <i>08 A</i>	waust 2002	)						
2a)⊠		on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) ☐ Thi								
3)			/—			natters prose	ecution as to t	he merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims											
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-45</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-30</u> is/are rejected.											
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers											
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.											
	Applicant	may not request that any o	bjection to the	drawing(s)	be held in ab	eyance. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a)				
11)[] T	he propos	ed drawing correction file	ed on	is: a) 🗌 ap	proved b)	] disapproved	by the Exami	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority u	nder 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a) All b) Some * c) None of:											
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>											
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.											
Attachment(s)											
1) Notice	of Reference of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review ( sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)					O-413) Paper No				

Application/Control Number: 09/910,914

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, 10-13, 15, 16, 17-20, 23-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al. ('880) in combination with Lopatin et al ('954) and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as previously applied.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed on 5/8/02.

4. Claims 7, 8, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al. ('880) in combination with Lopatin et al ('954) and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as applied to claims 1-6, 9, 10-13, 15, 16, 17-20, 23-27, 29 and 30 above, and further in view of Farrar ('931) as previously applied.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,914

Art Unit: 2823

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed on 5/8/02.

5. Claims 14 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al. ('880) in combination with Lopatin et al ('954) and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) as applied to claims 1-6, 9, 10-13, 15, 16, 17-20, 23-27, 29 and 30 above, and further in view of Gross ('083) as previously applied.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the Office Action mailed on 5/8/02.

### Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant argues that Jiang et al. fails to disclose forming a tungsten nitride layer; however, the reference is not relied upon for that purpose. Lopatin et al. is relied upon for that purpose. Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 7. Applicant argues that Lopatin et al. fails to disclose using atomic layer depositing using sequential surface reactions to form tungsten nitride layer. However, in view of the disclosure of Klaus et al. that WF and NH<sub>3</sub> are used as reactants at temperature between 550 to 800 K results in atomic layer deposition using sequential surface reactions, the process of Lopatin et al. is seen to be encompassed by the term "atomic layer deposition using sequential surface reactions" because the same materials are treated in the same manner as in the process of Klaus et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

8. Applicant argues that Lopatin et al. fails to disclose providing copper layer in contact with tungsten nitride layer; however, the reference is not relied upon for that purpose. Jiang et al. is relied upon for that purpose. Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

- 9. Applicant argues that Lopatin et al. fails to disclose forming copper layer through selective chemical vapor deposition; however, Lopatin et al. is not relied upon for selective deposition of copper. AAPA is relied upon for that purpose. Furthermore, the additional teachings of a specific method of depositing seed layer does not render the teachings relied on invalid.
- 10. Applicant's pointed to teachings of Farrar which are in addition to those relied on do not negate the relied on teaching.

### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suk-San Foong whose telephone number is 703-305-0383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 (7724, 3431, 3432).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

October 22, 2002

George/Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823